

Interview Summary

Application No. Applica FODOR et al. 09/585,659 Examiner **Group Art Unit** 1655

	S. ZITOWEN	1000	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) S. Zitomer	(3)		
(2) Paul Kokulis For Applicant	(4)		
Date of Interview Sep 20, 2001	- .		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) X Yes Copy of recently filed amendment was given to examiner.	e) No. If yes, brief description	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26, 38, 51, and 55</u>			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims $f(X)$ was reached. $g(X)$ was not reached. $g(X)$ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
Amendments to the claims were reviewed and accepted. It was noted that claims 38 and 51 are identical. Claim 55			
was seen to be confusing and rewording was discussed including deletion of the last "whereby" phrase. It was understood that the 112, first paragraph, rejection was overcome by applicant's arguments and citations of the			
specification as discussed in the case of 09/362,089.			
·			

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of t	he interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office a	action has NT OF THE

S. Extorner PRIMARY EXAMINET

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.